1	MR. TOLL: Good afternoon, your Honor, for the
2	plaintiffs, Steven Toll and Doug Bunch from Cohen Milstein.
3	THE COURT: Steven Toll and Doug Bunch.
4	MR. SCHWARTZ: Good afternoon, your Honor. Also for
5	the plaintiff, Max Schwartz and Lauren McCabe from Scott +
6	Scott.
7	THE COURT: Who will be speaking for the plaintiffs?
8	MR. TOLL: Judge, myself and Doug Bunch, if needed.
9	THE COURT: Just one.
10	Any other plaintiffs?
11	For the defendant. Is Ms. DeMasi here?
12	MS. DeMASI: Yes. Good afternoon.
13	THE COURT: How are you, Ms. DeMasi?
14	MS. DeMASI: I'm fine. How are you, your Honor?
15	THE COURT: Well. Thank you.
16	MS. DeMASI: I am joined this afternoon by my
17	colleague, Lauren Kennedy, also of Cravath, and I will be
18	speaking on the conference.
19	THE COURT: Are there other defendants?
20	MR. COSENZA: Yes, your Honor. Todd Cosenza and Sarah
21	Wastler from Willkie Farr & Gallagher for the underwriter
22	defendants. I hope your Honor is staying staff and healthy.
23	THE COURT: Thank you.
24	Mr. Cosenza, who is with you?
25	MR. COSENZA: Sarah Wastler from Willkie Farr.

1 THE COURT: Who else? 2 MR. RABIN: Good afternoon, your Honor, this is Shawn 3 Rabin from Sussman Godfrey. We represent some of the 4 individual defendants. And on the call with me is my 5 colleague, Ari Ruben. THE COURT: How are you both? 6 7 Doing well, your Honor. How are you? MR. RABIN: THE COURT: OK. 8 9 Anybody else? 10 Since the last time, you were supposed to have been 11 begun discovery in relationship to the plaintiffs' motion for class certification. 12 13 Mr. Toll, where were we with that? 14 MS. WASTLER: Your Honor, Ms. DeMasi and have spoken 15 yesterday and today and believe we are in a position where the 16 defendants will not oppose class certification. 17 THE COURT: Ms. DeMasi, shall I sign the plaintiffs' 18 order? 19 MS. DeMASI: Your Honor, I believe the way that the 20 case law contemplates a situation where defendants do not 21 oppose class certification is that the parties would agree on 22 proposed findings so that the Court can make findings under 2.3 Rule 23. It would indicate that defendants do not oppose, and

on whatever time frame pleases your Honor.

we would submit that to your Honor, and we are happy to do that

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

MR. TOLL: We have not discussed the timing of that with defense counsel. But, you know, I would suspect we would just draft up a notice and send it to them to look at to see if we could agree and submit it for your Honor's consideration.

THE COURT: And when would you propose to actually give that notice?

MR. TOLL: I would say in the next few weeks, two to

three weeks, your Honor, sounds reasonable.

THE COURT: Yes. So we are talking about actually distributing your notice in June. I ask that because in previous class actions I found after I granted the class motion and I certified a class that the actual notice for the class did not come about until sometimes years later in connection with a settlement. If we're certifying a class now, I think we should actually distribute the class and allow opt-outs to register.

MR. TOLL: I absolutely agree, your Honor.

THE COURT: You will provide dates in your proposed order that will work out a provision for settling a class notice by a certain date and providing for the -- what comes after that, an opt-out period? And then what do I do? Do I just tuck it away, or is there a hearing involved afterwards, or what?

MR. TOLL: No, your Honor. I think you could just wait, just sit on the sideline, as the case goes forward.

THE COURT: We will just have the certification. You will report to me. You will build in a reporting date and tell me about any opt-outs and the like.

What kind of notice will you be giving? How many shareholders do we have, approximately?

MR. TOLL: I don't know the answer to that. I don't know if defense counsel has a better feel for that, you know,

because so much of the securities is generally held in a discreet name --

THE COURT: Ms. DeMasi might know.

MS. DeMASI: Your Honor, I don't have that information as I'm here. I'm happy to inquire and confer with Mr. Toll, but unfortunately I don't have an accurate number to give your Honor on this call.

THE COURT: What would be the best method possible to give actual notice?

MR. TOLL: I would say, you know, by mail. Again, usually, the defendants will provide to us the list of holders that they have, and then usually we would send out notices to brokerage houses to ask them for the names of individuals.

Actually, I'll amend slightly what I said before. We can certainly provide the notice documentation to the Court in a few weeks, but it probably would not go out over the next couple of months because we would have to first get your Honor's approval to the notice and then send it out to the names and addresses the defendants provide us of holders, and then send it out also to brokerage firms, and they usually take a month or so or more, who knows —

THE COURT: Build in five days for my review and comment, and then we will work out a schedule towards the end.

Will we have some kind of a web page?

MR. TOLL: We can do that, your Honor. I am not sure

2.3

on an initial notice it's something that's necessary, but we could, if your Honor wishes us to.

THE COURT: I'm in favor of it. So anybody in the class who wishes to keep abreast of what's happening in the litigation would be able to know that, particularly would be able to know what happens when we meet and you give reports. Yes, I think there should be some kind of web page.

Ms. DeMasi, what does the notice that is given in your 10-K indicates about this lawsuit?

MS. DeMASI: There is a litigation disclosure, your Honor, that is, it discloses the fact that the lawsuit and the pending state court case, which I'm happy to address --

THE COURT: You don't need to address that. I just wanted to know what the thing was.

MS. DeMASI: A disclosure of the litigation and the fact of the litigation.

THE COURT: I think what you also ought to have is a sentence that will refer any interested party to the web page that the plaintiff poses. If you want to be participating in that web page, that would also be fine. It would also be a good idea that you both have joint involvement in the web page, and that goes for the other defendants as well.

MS. DeMASI: Understood, your Honor.

THE COURT: Would you build that in as well.

MS. DeMASI: I will certainly raise that with our

client and, yes, if your Honor is asking for that, we will build that in. I'm happy to cooperate with Mr. Toll and get whatever information we need to with respect to class notice.

THE COURT: Excellent. So much for the notice.

Where do you stand on discovery? Is there going to be any class discovery? I guess not.

MR. TOLL: No, your Honor. We have discussed, Ms.

DeMasi and I, a schedule, and we are pretty close to agreement
on something to submit to the Court of what we envision as a
reasonable schedule for merits discovery and substantive motion
practice for your consideration.

THE COURT: The next step would be production of documents, interrogatories, and the like, the written discovery.

How long a period do you envision for that, Mr. Toll?

MR. TOLL: Well, what we have tentatively agreed

upon -- just so your Honor knows, we have been in discussion

over the last four months, since we last met with them. Much

of it has been on class certification issues and plaintiffs'

discovery.

But we have also started discussing in depth the defendant's discovery. And as your Honor may know, we served our document requests right after the last conference. But the defendants are expecting that they believe they can produce a substantially complete document production by the end of

August, so that is what we will be waiting on. It would hopefully come in a rolling production, and I don't know when Ms. DeMasi could say when they might start, but she believes they can complete it by the end of August.

THE COURT: So I would like to meet with you after the written discovery and before the depositions. I don't think you need to concern yourself now with identifying which depositions you would take, but I would like you to have that in mind when we next meet.

If I schedule our next meeting for September, Ms. DeMasi, would that be too quick?

MS. DeMASI: No. I think that would be appropriate, your Honor.

THE COURT: How about if we do it on the 16th at 2:30, September 16 at 2:30.

MS. DeMASI: That would be just fine.

MR. TOLL: Fine with us, your Honor.

THE COURT: Say again, Mr. Toll.

MR. TOLL: I'm saying that's fine with us as well, your Honor.

THE COURT: I'm assuming, by fixing this date, that by August 31, all discovery will be completed, not counting depositions, but all of the production of documents or interrogatory answers and the like.

Is that too ambitious? We can enlarge the schedule.

What do you think, Mr. Toll?

MR. TOLL: No. I think that is workable, your Honor.

THE COURT: Ms. DeMasi.

MS. DeMASI: Your Honor, I agree certainly with respect to the document production and some written interrogatories, for instance. I would say that I think the parties contemplate that discovery such as RFAs, requests for admissions, or contention interrogatories might be more efficient closer to the end of fact discovery, after some depositions have been taken. So that's the exception with respect to that.

THE COURT: I agree with you. I find that it's a useless exercise too early in the case.

My philosophy is this. You need a document production. You are going to have to agree on various search terms. The interrogatories are relatively useless, except ancillary to the document production. They shouldn't be asking for admissions or contentions or anything like that. They should be focused precisely on aiding discovery, identifying witnesses, helping to produce documents, and the like.

I'd like to assume that by August 31, all of this work will be finished, the document production will be complete, and you will be ready for the next stage, which will be the depositions.

And my purpose in having the meeting on September 16

2.3

is that we will settle at that time who will take depositions, how many depositions there will be and the like, and set a closure date for the taking of depositions.

MR. TOLL: Judge, just so you know, Ms. DeMasi and I have discussed that. And subject to any changes, we were focusing on maybe a deadline for completing depositions, other than experts, by November 30. So that was our tentative thought, is document production by the end of August and then September, October, November for fact depositions is what we were generally thinking.

THE COURT: Any date you give now is more a hope than a realistic plan. Focus on getting your discovery finished by August 31, and we will discuss a deposition plan and how long it should take on September 16.

MR. TOLL: Very good.

MS. DeMASI: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Cosenza.

MR. COSENZA: That's fine, your Honor. We are amenable to that, and we will work with complying with the August 31 date.

THE COURT: Mr. Rabin.

MR. RABIN: I think that all makes sense, your Honor. Thank you very much.

THE COURT: It's all agreed.

What else can I do for you today?

MR. TOLL: Your Honor, I think we have covered it for today. Thank you.

THE COURT: If there are no questions, we can close this meeting, and I'll look forward to seeing you on September 16 at 2:30.

What do you think of this format? Have you used it with other judges?

MR. TOLL: Your Honor, we just started to do both telephone and video conferences and motion hearings and arguments, and still all a learning experience, but I think it works.

THE COURT: Ms. DeMasi?

MS. DeMASI: I agree. I've had telephonic conferences now and then over time and, obviously, more, in the recent last couple of months, and I think it works well, and the court staff are incredibly helpful in making the logistics run smoothly, so we are very grateful for that.

THE COURT: I will not look forward to this format for an actual replacement for face-to-face meetings when times get better, right?

MR. COSENZA: I agree with that, your Honor. Face to face is much better, but we are doing the best we can do under the current circumstances.

THE COURT: Stay well, everyone.

(Adjourned)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24